

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,274	03/29/2004	Sean T. Crowley	AMKOR-022CB1	2255	
7663 7590 04/09/2007 STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER 75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656			EXAMINER		
			LE, THAO X		
ALISO VIEJO	), CA 92030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
10/812,274	CROWLEY ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Thao X. Le	2814				

	THAO X. Le		2014	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	with the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITIO	ON FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea se with 37 CFR 1.114. The	dment, affi al fee) in c	davit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	ater than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspondin shortened statutory period for than three months after the	ng amount o r reply origii	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37	' must be f	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by mat	terially rec	lucing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice o	of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a s	separate, t	imely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 16-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections und	der appea	il and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the clain	ns after en	itry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the app	olication in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		$\mathcal{I}$	-
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	( : 5, 5 ± 1 ± 7, 1 ± p ± 1, 10 (0).		THACKIE	
			THAO X. LE	NED
		CUINNAL	I <b>Y PATENT EXAMII</b> ⁻ 28 Mar. 2007	AEU .
			20 Mai. 2007	

Continuation of 13. Other: The remarks have been considered, but they do not place the application into the condition for allowance because they do not overcome the rejection..